

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

2 DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 JOHN H. HEMANN (CABN 165823)
5 Assistant United States Attorney

6 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7200
FAX: (415) 436-7234
John.Hemann@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for United States of America

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. CR 14-0102 CRB
14 Plaintiff,)
15 v.) GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING
16 EDMOND ROBLES,) MEMORANDUM
17 Defendant.) Sentencing Date: April 18, 2015
18) Time: 10:00 am
19)
20 "He was my people. Criminal like me."

21 Cesar Hernandez was testifying about how it had come to pass that he began working with then-
Officer Edmond Robles to rob drug dealers of cash and drugs. (Ex. A, RT 541:12 – 562:12) Hernandez
testified that Robles had been pressuring him to become a snitch – that Robles twice had burst into his
room in a Mission District SRO and threatened him with false arrest and phony prosecution unless he
started working for Robles. Finally, Hernandez explained, he agreed to do a deal for Robles.

22 After Hernandez identified a drug dealer and gave Robles sufficient detail to get into the dealer's
house, Robles called him and they met on a street near Mission Police Station. When they met, Robles
told him that the raid went well and, unexpectedly, gave Hernandez cash and crystal meth that Robles
had stolen during the search of the drug dealer's house. (Ex. B, RT 569:8 – 575:14) Hernandez had

1 intended for this to be his one and only deal with Robles, but after considering Robles that night on the
2 street in the Mission, Hernandez changed his mind:

3 Q. You walked away from that. And as you were walking away from that
4 meeting with Mr. Robles, when he gave you the cash and the crystal meth, did you intend
5 to do more work with the San Francisco police officers?

6 A. He called me a couple, like, times and told me we did good and we had to do
7 again. And I have a couple -- I think I talk to him -- I talk to him after that again. I do
8 the first thing because I want him go away.

9 Q. You wanted him to go away?

10 A. Yeah, on the first day. Then when he gave me money, he gave me the crystal
11 meth, and when I talked to him I think was -- he was one of my kind, like me.

12 Q. He was one of your kind?

13 A. Yeah. Only thing is he had a badge and pistol. He was my people. Criminal
14 like me. Like the guys always hang out, you know. He was the same. The only
15 difference he had pistol and badge. And I don't have no problems to do things later.

16 Q. So did you change your mind about doing more deals with Mr. Robles?

17 A. Yes.

18 (Ex. C, RT 608:17 - 609:12) As the Court knows, Robles did more deals with Hernandez, and more
19 with Reynaldo Vargas and Ian Furminger that did not involve Hernandez.

20 Ed Robles was a criminal with a pistol and a badge. He was one of Cesar Hernandez's people,
21 but worse. Much worse. He had been entrusted and paid to protect and serve the people of San
22 Francisco. His violation of that trust warrants a sentence at the high end of the sentencing guideline
23 range. The government incorporates the points it made in the sentencing memorandum filed regarding
24 Ian Furminger and will not repeat them here. See Exhibit D. We wish to add two points relevant to
25 defendant Robles.

26 First, it appears that it was Robles who began the criminal scheme to steal money, property, and
27 drugs from drug dealers; a scheme that Vargas and Furminger quickly joined. The uncontested
28 evidence at trial was that the first such theft that took place involving any of the three defendants was

1 the theft from the house at 22nd and Harrison, which was committed by Robles alone based on
 2 information provided by Hernandez. There is no evidence that either Vargas or Furminger engaged in
 3 similar conduct, alone or with others, prior to this occurrence. Vargas, who was new to undercover
 4 work when the scheme began, testified that it was Robles who brought him into the scheme by slipping
 5 money into his pocket during a search. (Ex. E, RT 1251:7-16)

6 Second, Robles is a person who abuses, or attempts to abuse, his authority without regard to
 7 legal or societal norms. Not only are there the events of conviction and the other illegal conduct
 8 described in detail by Vargas and Hernandez, but even after his conviction Robles continued to engage
 9 in illegal and threatening conduct. After trial, Robles telephoned and violently threatened a man who
 10 had dated Robles's girlfriend. (Ex. F) Magistrate Judge Zimmerman revoked Robles's release and
 11 confined him to home; Magistrate Judge Beeler later released him from home confinement, but required
 12 continuing electronic monitoring. (Dkt. 186 & 201)

13 This wasn't Robles's only erratic conduct following his indictment in this case. In July 2014, the
 14 Walnut Creek police were called by the same girlfriend to her home in Walnut Creek. The girlfriend
 15 reported that Robles would not leave her front door after she refused to let him in. He told her that he
 16 would continue to ring her doorbell until he woke up her children unless she let him in the house.
 17 Robles was confrontational with the police who responded and returned to the house even after the
 18 police instructed him to leave. (Ex. G)

19 For the reasons that the Court sentenced defendant Furminger to the high end of the sentencing
 20 guideline range, as well as the additional factors discussed above, Robles is not deserving of any
 21 variance from the Guideline range and the Court should impose a sentence at the high end of that range.

22
 23 DATED: March 12, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

24
 25 MELINDA HAAG
 United States Attorney

26
John H. Hemann

27
 JOHN H. HEMANN
 Assistant United States Attorne